

Cooper
45297-9-II SAG

under Brady vs Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963)

I was not allowed to challenge my accuser
(Mr Gore's credibility to his truthfulness),
Due to ineffective assistance of Trial Counsel, In
violation of my 5th, 6th, and 14th amendment rights

Please Examine State vs. Engel 166 Wash. 2d 572
210 p.3d 1007 (2009)

Holding: The Supreme Court, sitting En Banc,
J.M. Johnson, J held that private yard that was
partially enclosed by a fence and partially bordered
By sloping terrain was Not a "Fenced area," as
Required to support conviction

Reversed, and Remanded with Instructions

Also Elements for Res Burg never
existed see Amended Charge Probable Cause
which states That on March 28
Mr Cooper entered a fenced yard to
gain access to Mr Gore's vehicle

Charging me with Res Burg / taking
me to Trial for Res Burg Is a violation
of 4th Amendment (Probable Cause) rights.
The Element Do Not Exist.

The trial court instructed the jury that to find Engel guilty of Burglary in the second degree, there must be proof beyond a reasonable doubt that he unlawfully entered or unlawfully remained in a building.

The jury was also instructed that if they could not find Engel guilty of Burglary in the second degree, they could consider whether he was guilty of the lesser included crime of Criminal Trespass in the second degree, a misdemeanor.

This such action was never brought to my jury. (See Record)

I also was screened for D.O.S.A. And denied D.O.S.A. from (court) cause "I did not think I was guilty

This should not have taken D.O.S.A. option from me.